App. F. IX-4

Preserve

Preserved

1938.
(Madras)

File No. 36-35

Serial Nos. 1-12

*Previous References*

*Letter References.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1

**Part B for** January 1937

**SUBJECT.**

Appeal for succession of Siddalingadevaru as successor to Sri Jangam Math at Halya Magadi Taluk.

To the Mag. Cmr
no 887-f. 31-12-36

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8 36

Confirms the order affirming Siddalinga

Mus. Revenue No. 5 of 1936
Succession to Jaigam State.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 31 of 1936.

[App F III-2

Petition of 3/8/1935 from Rao Sahib T. Rama Iyengar Advocate for Palinamadu Kalya Nagappa Tadiki praying against the establishment of the Maharajah Sodalinga Deva as the future successor of Pachappa of Jaigam State. Kalya Nagappa Tadiki also praying that the Govt. may be pleased to set aside the Siva Sukla Varna of Sodalingappa Deva and to be appointed as the Heir.

Petition of 19.8.1935 from the same advocate [illegible] on behalf of his clients requesting to grant a stay of the order issued as the installation of Sodalinga Deva is fixed made on Friday the 23rd August 1935.

Minutes of the Round Member of Council on P. & I.
= Call for a report.

Minutes of the same Member on P. & II: —
= Note - [illegible]

Re: [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Records are also called for for a verification of the records; if and those portions in the records may be retained

As regards para (2) supra, it is stated that the mutt in question is a Lingayet mutt & that the Review petitioners 1, 2, 3, 5 & 7 do not appear from their surnames to be Lingayets only 4 & 6 are Lingayet Jangams. It is not clear how they form themselves connected with this Jangam mutt succession case. The 1st petitioner viz Mr Puttarama Setty is an R.A. Vakil as evidenced from the Vakalat.

It is on the recommendation of the S.D.O. Chickballapur, D.C. Kanara & the M.C. Sanction was accorded in G.O. no 1396-7/MUN 215-34-2 dt 26/4/35 appointing one Siddalingadevaru to succeed to the vacant pattadhi The Jangama Mutt at Kalya. The petitioners say that Siddalingadevaru was not selected by a majority of the disciples, that the majority selected one Sivasankara Sarma & that they are ready to prove the same. As the installation of the candidate, whose nomination to the Peetha of Sri Kalya was ordered on 26/4/35 is proposed to take place on 23rd inst

(7) yes 2/10/8

x A

x B

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revn. File No. 3 of 1935-6.
Re: Succession to the Peetha of Jangamwadi Math at Kalleshwar
of 193 App. F. III-2

The petitioners request the issue of a stay order ~~passed~~ & this is to stand in view of the allegations made in Pts I & II

Gen. Secy. 20/8

8) Kind reference is invited to the notes & orders on pages 1 to 3 of the notes in Revn File No 215-34 Sls 1-2 pertaining. The D.C. has stated that the majority of the villagers were in favour of the affdt recommended though the opinion of the villagers re this candidate was not unanimous. Kind reference is also invited to the II Members Submt note of 30.3.35 (Flag N).

9) As pointed out in the opinion note above the present petitions or at least a major portion of them do not seem to be disinterested, still less ~~fatherless~~ disciples of the Math. It is stated that the installation of the Swami is fixed to take place on the 23rd instt & a stay order is asked for. This is forwarded.

20/8/35

Gen: Secy)

Stay order may perhaps be granted in this case

II Member)

20/8

21/8

21/8

SECRETARIAT 20 AUG. 1935

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Mag Review — 5 of 1935-6. 206

re. [illegible]

File No. 36 of 1935. General and Revenue Secretariat

[illegible] draft —

[illegible] 21/8/35

[illegible] at once — that the [illegible] order [illegible] the City to the [illegible] the City to be [illegible] be [illegible] to say [illegible]

No. 255 of 21/8/1935 [illegible] Commissioner [illegible] 4

No 255 of 21/8/1935 to the Magistrate Commissioner requesting him to hold — G.O. No. 1396-7/Home 215-34-2 of 26/4/35 in abeyance in [illegible] No 256 of 21/8/1935 to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District forbidding entry of the above for immediate action.

No 257 of 21/8/1935 to the Advocate for the petitioners intimating the grant of a stay order as prayed for by the petitioners —

Telegram of 22-8-1935 from Mr. Pattabhiraya — Kalya. Magistrate to — Ceremonies begun on hill Kalya Jangam Mutt Peetha for Siddalingadevaru yesterday at eight morning today and continues in Kalya Village saying Ceremonies should be stopped — already spent 500 Rupees pray order — Continuance of ceremonies otherwise disturbing peace

[illegible] 21/8

loss important functions over
man arrived in numbers only
Pontiff's remaining obeying
original order".

orders of the Gen Sec on the
P. U. D :—

14) = References nos 11,
filed with the connected file —

G.S. 23/8/35 stay order was issued in this case as per orders of the General Secretary and the second member, (Vide paras 10 and 11 of the notes). The petitioners state that Siddalinga Devaru, whose selection to the Peeth was sanctioned by govt. in g.o. no. 1396-7 dated 23rd April 1935, was not selected for the Peeth by a majority of the disciples of the matt

Mus. 215-34-2

23.8.35.

Gen (Secy)

23/8/35

17) The telegram was seen by Mr T Dombe who said it (copy) may be sent to the Mus Comr for expediting the receipt of the report already called for.

HM
23/8/35

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GENERAL SECRETARY
23 AUG. 1935

Mag Revenue — 5 of 1935-36
Re: Jangam Mutt at Dalya-Magadi

File No. 36 of 1935 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Office no. recorded in para 17 ordered
[illegible]

No 273 of 26-8-35 to the Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of the telegram referred to therein [illegible]

7

SECTION. AUG. 1935 SECTT.

~~Letter~~ Petition of 27-8-1935 from Messrs Palandhaya Mallanadhaya & appellants praying for reasons set forth therein that orders be issued for the installation of a Sivadevaru on the Peetada Sri Jangam Mutt at Dalya Magadi.

It is from these very prices a telegram was received & copy of it was sent to M.C. for co-operating which of course already called for. If appd, this will also be sent in continuation of orders —

GV
27/8

The Mysore Comr. was requested in this office letter of 21st Aug. 1935 ~~requested~~ not to give effect to G.O. No. 1396-7 Mysg-215-34-2 dated 26th April 1935. ~~in~~ According to the invitations issued for installation of the Siddalinga Devaru on the Peeta, the installation was to commence on the 23rd August 1935. It is therefore doubtful whether ~~that~~ installation

FR
P
C

TK — installation therein —



could have been completed before the orders contained in this office letter No. 255 Imp. 36-35-4 dated 21st Aug. 1935 as alleged by the petitioners in the P. W. D. It is therefore a point for orders whether the petitioners have got any grievance.

27.8.35.

The report called for from the M.C. may perhaps be awaited

Gen. Sec.

(24) 23) Sir. Pls a copy of the petn. of 19-8-35 also draft to the Commr. in Cont. for report

ITM

Renewed petition has been forwarded to M.C. of the original

It is respectfully submitted that petn. of 19.8.35 is a stay order application. As it is for Govt. to pass orders thereon, it was not forwarded to M.C. of the original petition. Copies of 1-5 (both inclusive) will now be sent to M.C. in continuation, as a report on the points raised therein may be of use in disposing of the revision petn.

29.8.35.

This does not affect the

Gen. Secy.

Seen

ITM 29/8

27/10

GENERAL STAGE 27 AUG. 1935 1170

GENERAL BRANCH 29 AUG. 1935 1557

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

The Succession to Kalyan Mutt

Menu File No 36 of 193 5 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No H.C 13/35-36 & 11.10.1935 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding records connected with the review petition

2) Kind reference is invited to the Amildar's report of 16.9.35 which the D.C. says answers all the points raised in the review Petn —

The Jangam Mutt at Kalyan is a minor mutt endowed with lands yielding from 40 to 50 acres of dry land, the affairs of which have been in a neglected condition even since the lifetime of the deceased Swami. The Mutt Building has been in a state of disrepair & no services were rendered. The previous Swami lived in his brother's house at Kalyan without remaining in the Mutt proper. The Counter petitioners Palasudhar & others are the nephews of the deceased Swami. No doubt Swami has admitted before the Amildar on 3.12-29 that there is no suitable candidate in his view to succeed him to the Peetha of the Mutt, that there is no likelihood of getting a proper successor that in those circumstances Govt may assume the management of the Mutt & its Inam lands. In this connection it may be said that the deceased Swami

Placed as per orders of the Addl Sec. ascertained that the D.C. Bangalore is again reminded & that on receipt of records a report would be sent —

XP.11 G.S. 12.9.35

Re: succession to Kaliya mutt



V. G.O. No. 1396 (-) Mun. 215-34-7 of 26/4/35

Serial No.

would have suggested the name of Siddalinga Devaru to the Tah Amildar, if in his opinion he were a fit candidate to succeed him

-30) A close study of the records goes to show that the villagers of Kaliya, the devotees and disciples of the mutt living outside have remained opposed to the idea of selecting a candidate from the Counterpetitioners' family and from the beginning they have been in favour of appointing one Siva Sankara Sarma proposed by the Ramakrishna Swami as Dodguru. It is clear from the Amildar's report of 8/12/31 that the people in Pet were authorised to take the lead in making a selection to the Peetha and communicate the same to Govt. (Evidently the Tah. Mun. Officer in the first place after consulting the original mutt authorities while matters stood thus, the Counterpetitioners went on petitioning the authorities that until a candidate be appointed any one of them may be allowed to do work for the Guddige in the mutt. The Mun. Commissioner ordered on 27.10.33 that one of the people (viz. Palanadhya, Mallaradhya, etc.) may be appointed as temporary Pujari & that the question of appointing a successor to the deceased Swami be pursued. 'It is to the first time

W.

E

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

SYD 0594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Re: Succession to Kalyanamutt



Mention is made in the records that the Swamiyaru of Sri Melina Gavi Mutt at Sivaganga should be consulted in the matter of making a selection. This idea is found in the D.C's over refd to above. It is alleged in the petn of 10-9-34 (Review petitioners & other disciples) that Melinagavi Mutt Swamiji's daughter is married to Mallanadhiya (father of the candidate now objected). The leaders failed to produce the candidate approved by the Swamiyaru of Sri Ramalingapuri Mutt, the S.D.O. went in person to Kalyana, obtained the statements of persons concerned. Kind notice is invited to the statement said to have been given by the disciples, devotees of the Mutt & the villagers. This statement is signed by three persons viz Kalyana Gurumanjappa, Uppanthi Veeraiya & Thimmaraiyappa & bears the thumb impressions of two others. These have said that the counterpetitioners are the relatives of Melina Gavi Mutt Swami of Sivaganga & that they have no objection if the Swami selects any other candidate who does not belong to the family of the counterpetitioners.

Re: Succession to Kaly mutt



Serial No.

The Second Statement given before the S.D.O. Closepet on the same day viz 9-7-32 is more of the Cantelpetchiars themselves - they have stated that in view of there being no qualified Candidate in their family they have no objection to the Candidate, whom the Gavi Swamiji may select, to occupy the Peeta for the present & that Siddalingadevaru is most receiving Education & that he may be appointed as successor after he is educated & becomes a fit man to occupy the Peeta. These have not in fact urged that Siddalingadevaru of their family should at once be nominated to the Peeta of the Mutt. In spite of this a few months later the S.D.O. has recommended the Candidate for the Peeta which recommendation having been upheld by the N.C. the N.C. has been totally sacrificed. On the same day viz 9-7-32 the 1st & 7th petitioners (who before Gavi) have said that they would go to Melingavi mutt Swamiji consult him & would report the selection of the Swami within 15 days from that date

L

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

Re: Succession to Kalya mutt



The petrs have on 3 9 34 reported to the Tk Amildar that Melina Gari mutt Swami had no proper man in view & that he had no objection that the Candidate recommended by the Ramabhapuri mutt, if found fit, may be appointed & that he would report shortly — Again on 10. 9. 34 the petrs wrote to the Tk Amildar that Sivasankara Sharma may be appointed & that the installation & the Peeta may be conducted by the Balakarum Swami in case then in those parts — On 18. 9. 34 the Agent of Melina Gari mutt wrote to say that Siddalingadevaru Sorey Mallaradhya of Kalya may be appointed to the vacant peeta. It is said that this opinion was inevitable as Mallaradhya is found to be a close relative of the Gari mutt Swami —

33 The S.D.O. in his report of 23. 11. 34 says that both the petitioners & the counter petitioners have given an undertaking that they would abide by the decision of the Swami of Melina Gari mutt. It is said that this is not true; the parties agreed to go to Sivaganga Swami, consult him & report as to whom he may select.

Re: Succession to Kalya mutt



Serial No.

The petitioners do not appear to have definitely said that they have no objection to the Candidate being brought on the Peeta whom the Guru Swamiji may select. The D.C. in his report of 15/1/85 addressed to M.C. refers to the S.D.O having said that the opinion of the Villagers re: The Candidate selected is not unanimous, the majority of the Villagers are in favour of the successor now proposed — ~~That may~~ The latter is not also true as seen from the Statements given before the S.D.O. on 9-7-84. Only a few people have attested the Statements — Further "the majority of the Villagers" is not what we have to reply upon; Rules re: Succession require that majority of the disciples should be consulted (Page 496 of the Revised Mun. Manual) The Amildar in fact is virtually supporting what was done previously & what the petitioners say is true to records & a stay order has also been issued. It is for you if the Case may be posted for a hearing —



SWD/0.6d—GRB—30,000—18-7-35

Mys Review . re: Succession to Jangama Math at Kalya Mayadi Tk

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

The main points urged in the Review Petn are :—

(1) that Siddalinga Devaru whose nomination has been sanctioned was not selected by a majority

(2) that during the S. D.'s enquiry the majority of the disciples suggested that the Swami shd be selected by the Bulehonnur Mutt but that first appointed a Committee of 14 persons to suggest a Swami and that one Sivasankara Sarma who was also suggested by the Bulehonnur Mutt Swami had been selected by this Committee

(3) that the late Swami had left a will to the effect that he had taken in adoption one Siddananjappa & that he shd be his successor

(4) that the S. D. was not right in having asked the Sivaganga Melinagari Mutt Swami to suggest any name as this Swami had no right to suggest any name and

(5) that the nephews of the late Swami have apportioned the Mutt properties and even registered a document re the division of Mutt properties among themselves

Serial No.

35

Appended to Circular

In regard to these points the records now need disclose the following facts:—

(1) The Auditor in his report dt 16.9.35 (Flag Z) states that the boy was not selected by the disciples but by the Swami of the Melinagari Mutt at Sivaganga. The S.D.O. has, in his report dt 23.11.34 (Flag J) — para 5 stated that "both the petitioners & Counter petitioners appeared before him at Kalaya on 9.7.34 & gave an undertaking that they would abide by the decision of the Swami of Sivaganga Melinagari Mutt in the matter of the selection of a boy". A reference to the said Mt (Flags M & L) shows that the consent given by the appellants was a qualified one. They have stated that they would be agreeable to the selection to be made by the Swami of Melinagari Mutt at Sivaganga provided the selection is made from outside the family of Palaniappa & Muthuvelappa, nephews of the late Swami. On this the D.C. asked the S.D.O. to hold a meeting of the disciples of the mutt, ascertain public opinion, interview the candidate or candidates selected by the disciples & furnish his opinion. The S.D.O. however did not hold a meeting of disciples as instructed but simply reported on 3/1/35 (Flag X) that he visited the village during Huzur jamabandy & consulted the disciples and interviewed the candidate and added that "the opinion in the village regarding the candidate now proposed is of course not unanimous but the



WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

the majority of the Villagers advocate the cause of the successor now proposed - It is very few disciples who live outside the Village that object the choice of the candidate now proposed. Both parties have agreed to abide by the decision of Sivaganga Swami & the present candidate is the Swami's choice - Hence the candidate may hereby be appointed for the Peetha ?! Thus it cannot be said that the S.D.O. stated the facts correctly. The record further discloses that when both the parties went to the Sivaganga Swami on 19. 8. 34 he told them as stated by the applicants that he had withdrawn his decision on 27. 8. 34 (Ply H), that on 27. 8. 34 both parties went to him & he stated as follows:—

[illegible] ... [illegible] — (Ply K)

On 18. 9. 34 however the Agent of this matt has addressed a communication to the Collector (Ply Y) in which he states that as there are no sufficient reasons to select from out of the

he would appear to have

Re: Succession to Jangam Math at Kalya.



Serial No.

Various available cases in the said Sivaganga Mutt or the Brahmanandam Mutt, the Swami selecting Sri Vidalajadevam as the Successor/the son of Mahamadhyan as the best possible and that both the parties have been initiated duly by the Swami.

In view of the above it has to be conceded that the contention that Vidalajadevam was not selected by a majority is correct.

(2) On pages 6 & 7 of his report the Auditor states that the records disclose that a Committee as alleged by the ~~opposite~~ Revn. Petitioners had been formed but not with the ~~sanction~~ approval of any competent authority and there are no records to show what the proposals of this Committee were, that though they were passed by the Committee did not submit Opp. proposals. A kind reply to the last para of the Pr. received on 9.7.34 (Flag M) shows that this Mutt is a subordinate one to Ujjani Mutt and that the name of Siva Sankara Sarma had been suggested by the Revenue Petitioners.

(3) The Auditor has explained how these petitions have admittedly been in on 15.9.3 that they have only heard of the title. The petition alleged to have been written by the Swami on 23.4.30 is flagged N M.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Mr. Sikramanjar Amildar has learned that the Swami died at 1 P.M. that very day & that he personally attended to the burial and his opinion that the petn is a forgery. Hence no value can be attached to this allegation.

(4) It is clear from the records that the petnrs themselves agreed to ~~to~~ consult the Sivaganga Melinagri Swami and no blame can rest with the S.D.O.

(5) Kind reference is invited to pages 15 & 16 of the Amildar's report (Flag 2) – the document is flagged D. It is ~~[illegible]~~ registered. A perusal of the document shows that the deceased of the late Swami entered into a kanar among themselves re the mutt properties. The Amildar's characterises this as a mistake and adds that the parties ~~agreed to [illegible]~~ are ready to annul or destroy the document if ordered. Whatever this may be the motive of the deceased is clear from this.

From the above it is seen that the Swami was not selected by the disciples of the mutt, and the S.D.O. felt that it is very few disciples who live outside the village that object to the choice is not quite correct. At the same time that fact that, as stated

Mug Review no: 5 of 1935-36
Re: Succession to Tanjore Mutt at Kalya nagaram.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. of 1935-36 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

by the Annalar ~~[illegible]~~ on page 13 of his Report, the petitioners are not disciples of the Mutt has to be considered also. Whether the case may be pushed further or is for orders.

38) ~~[illegible]~~ 5/11

Gen: Secy)

876

39) The note put up by the Asst Secy is full and covers all the grounds urged in the review petition

40) There is reason to fear that the nephews of the late Swami are treating the Mutt as household property. Whether in the circumstances it is desirable to confirm the selection already made of a boy from their family is for consideration.

41) The Hy Coun. may perhaps be asked to go into the matter himself and make a final recommendation. If after such enquiry it is decided that the selection already made should stand, special arrangements would be need to prevent exploitation of the Mutt his seniors as family property

OFFICE OF THE HIGH COURT
6 NOV. 1935
No. 2959

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03591—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

First Member) This is an application for review. The party may be heard first & then further action decided on. later

2nd 6/11

5/11

SPR 15/11

Mug Review no 5 of 1935-36, re. Succession to Sri Jangam Muto at Kalya Magadi Tk.

Mug File No. of 1935-6

General and Revenue Secretariat

Posted for a hearing on Friday the 28th Feby 1936.

[illegible]

[illegible]

28/2/36

Posted to Saturday the 7th June 1936

Letter no HC 13/35-36 of 24.6.1936 from the Magadi Commissioner forwarding a petition of 25/5/1936 addressed to the General Secretary to Govt but received to him from certain residents of Kalya, Magadi Taluk praying that Sivalingadevaru may be ordered to be installed on the vacant seat of Sri Jangam Muto at Kalya.

46) No action seems to be necessary as this stage, as the case has been posted for a hearing on the ensuing 11th for hearing.

30.6.36.

(Act Member)

47) 30/6/36.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF JUDICIAL
30 JUN 1936
No. 9950

48) 30/6 [illegible] 1/7/36

G.R.S. III—3]

## Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Petition of 6.7.36 from Mr. Venappa and others of Chickanganavadi Village Closepet Taluk, residents of Kalya Jangam Mutt requesting that Sarvalinga devaru be appointed to the Peetha of the Jangam Mutt received to above

49) The connected case is posted for a hearing tomorrow i.e. 11.7.1936.

No action is called for at this P.U. stage

Order for hearing. This will be placed in the connected file which is now before the Hon'ble Member of Council

11.7.36.

*N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.*

G. R. S. VII—s-III/38]

## APPLICATION FOR A WARRANT UNDER ACT XV OF 1903, THE INDIAN EXTRADITION ACT.

---

1. Officer to whom the warrant should be issued.

2. Magistrate of the District who wants the warrant issued.

3. Name and description of accused person.

4. Where or under whose custody the accused person is supposed to be.

5. Name of the offence committed, and section of the Indian Penal Code under which the accused is charged

6. Officer to whom the accused should be surrendered.

7. Place at which the accused should be delivered.

8. Whether the accused is a British subject, a subject of Mysore, or to which State he belongs.

MYSORE GOVT. GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT,

*Bangalore.* . . . . . *191* *Secretary to Govt.*

W D 02268—GPB—500—5 2-17

Muzrai Review No. 5 of 1935-36 re: Succession to Sri Jangam Math. Kalya. Magadi Tk.

General and Revenue Secretariat Muz File No. of 1936-7 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

51) Adjourned - as the appltS Lawyer was not present

SJM

11/7/36

52) Posted to Wednesday the 23rd Sept 1936

GS 23.9.36

53) Adjourned to 18th Dec 1936.

GS 5/12/36

54) The case was called on ~~today~~ the 18th Dec 1936. The Review petnrs with their Counsel were present & heard & the Counterpetnrs with their Counsel were also present & were heard. orders reserved.

SJ 18/12/36

Order -

4097 18/12

(1) After hearing the parties, there are not suffnt reasons for setting aside the order already passed. The pttrs are not all interested as disciples of the mt (two of them being Brahmins) - such is a Jangamgat matt. The selection of Siddalinga Swamy is not prejudicial any serious objection. The -

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

doubt the apprehension that the Counter. petrs will continue to exploit the opportunities afforded by their this young man's relationship to Wefu However the properties are under Govt management now & this has to be cont'd till the young man becomes a major, when the time comes of handing over the properties necessary conditions to prevent this may be thought of, as provided in the latest amendment of the Mun Reg The petn is dismissed & the Stay order withdrawn

SM
18/12

Sw
21/12/36

21/12/-

It is respectfully submitted that no Govt order has been passed so far for taking the Mutts properties under their management. Kind reference is invited to M.C's letter of 2.2.35 wherein he has stated that the management of the mutt properties has been assumed by the local officers without ref to Govt. The previous swamiji died

Mag Rev. 5 of 1935-36 re: Succession to Sri Jangam Mutt at Kalya Maggoi TK

General and Revenue Secretariat Mag File No. of 193 [App F. III—2

Serial No.

A. on 23.4.1930. & with effect from that date of abd the mutt with its properties will be treated as having come under Govt management & the return rlcd by the Local Officers in leasing the mutt properties is appd. If this is made clear in the order portion the M.C. would be in a position to fix a scale of Expenditure for the Instn during the time it will be under Govt management

59) Sd/- [illegible]

GENERAL SECRETARY. 26 DEC. 1936 1529

60) A. [illegible] approved. [illegible]

26/12/36

61) Please do not draft again. The member's minute to be copied with the slightest alteration necessary for formality. Please see words in pencil - in minute of [illegible]

26/12

62) [illegible]

26/12

63)

II No 887 of 31.12.1936 to the Mangai Commissioner forwarding copy of the orders

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

in the review referred to therein and returning the entire records received from him on the subject.

Memo no 888 of 31-12-1936 to Mr K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the review petitioners forwarding copy of the order passed in the case.

No 889 of 31-12-36 to the Advocate for the Caveator petitioners forwarding copy of the order passed for information.

Petition of 2-1-37 from Patel Bhadrayya of Bicha Gangavadi-desapre Taluk and other disciples of the Kalya-mutt Nagadi Taluk praying that Siddalingadivaru may be appointed to the Jangam Mutt at Kalya as the Matadhipathi thereof

Flags Q & R.

(b) Similar petitions had already been received in favour of the Candidate who under the order passed will be the future Matadhipathi of the Kalya Mutt & the Caveator-petitioners will necessarily have been informed thereof on the receipt of review Case. No further action is called for.

Necessary to Sri Janardhan — Mitra Kal —



Serial No.

~~The papers will be filed after final~~

(66) The petitioners will be informed accordingly if approved.

(66) Yes Final orders have been issued

15/1/

Petition of 9.1.37 from Palagadhya & two others of Kalya Magadi Taluk stating that they would complete the remaining formalities referred to therein for the installation of Siddalingadevaru on the Peeta of the Jangama Mutt at the place.

67) It is held there no action seems to be necessary ~~to take place~~ in accordance with this petition. Office Sub, ~~called for~~

66 Sub ...

66 ... 15/1/37.

Sec 15/1

12 No 958 of 16/1/37 to Patel Veerangouda and others of Chikka Gangavadi — Chincoli Taluk intimating that the Review petition referred to in their petition has been dismissed —

B 16/1



WD 0417—CPL—30.000—8.8.3

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | |

COURT FEE TWO RUPEES

GENL. & REV SEC. SECRETARIAT 20 AUG 1935 BRANCH

Muz 36-35-1.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 17 AUG. 1935 No. 12766

Review

Muzrai Petition No. 5 of 35-36.

PETITIONERS:-- 1. Putturama Setty.

2. K.V.Venkataramiah.

3. Muddu Setty.

4. Savandiah.

5. Chick Narasimhiah.

6. Guru Nanjappa.

7. K. Srinivasa Murthy Rao.

All residing in Kalya Village, Kasba Hobli, Magadi - Taluk.

Gl Secy with compliments

17/8

Call for report

17/8

********

The Petitioners beg to state as follows:-

1. That, regarding the Kalya Mutt in Magadi Taluk, they learn that Orders have been passed directing the appointment of one Siddalinga Devaru, son of Mr. Mallaradhya as Mathadhipathi. Copy of order is produced hereunto.

2. That the appointment appears to have been made on the statement of the Deputy Commissioner that the said person has been selected

selected by the majority of the Disciples.

3. That the Petitioners -- there are hundreds more -- most respectfully submit that the allegation is not true and that the boy was not selected by the majority.

4. That the whole thing is a fraud on the Government committed by the nephews of the late Swami, who have manoeuvred to get their son appointed so that they may enjoy the Mutt and its properties without - let or hindrance.

5. That these nephews of the late Swami had been appropriating and misappropriating Mutt properties so flagrantly that the late Swami himself had petitioned the Government against them in 1930 and prayed that Government may take over the management and prevent the abuse and misuse of the Mutt properties by them. The Government, thereupon, attached the lands and have leased them on Five Years' Tenure.

6. That these nephews, with a view to get back the lands free as before under guise of proper management, have gone about to get a Swamy of their own appointed, and it is regrettable that they have so far succeeded and their manoeuvres are not detected.

7. That

7. That these nephews began the attempt by putting up a son of theirs, this very Siddalinga Devaru, as Claimant setting up a right in the family to appoint and be appointed Swami. The same was rightly rejected; and yet, they have got the same boy appointed by yet another process as - hereunder set forth.

8. The Deputy Commissioner sent - for Report of the Sub-Division Officer regarding the appointment of a Successor. On that Officer's enquiry, the majority of the Disciples suggested that the Swami should be selected by the Balehonnur Mutt Swami since the Kalya Mutt was a Branch of the Balehonnur Mutt. The nephews resisted. The Government appointed a Committee of 14 persons to suggest a Swami. They suggested one Sivasankara Sarma, an intelligent and learned young man of about eighteen years of age, who was also suggested by the Balehonnur Mutt Swami. He could, therefore, have been appointed.

9. Besides, the late Swami had left a Will stating that he had taken in adoption one Siddananjappa and that he should be his Successor. This is a bright and intelligent boy and very well behaved, and was worthy of being appointed.

10. The nephews, rightly fearing that the appointment of either of these two boys would

would effectively end their exploitation - of the Mutt, have cleverly side-tracked both of them. They suggested to the Sub-Divn. Officer that the Sivaganga Melanagavi Mutt be asked to suggest a person (the Sivaganga Swami is a close relative of theirs). The Sub-Division Officer unsuspectingly fell - into the trap and asked the Sivaganga Mutt - to suggest a name and the nephews easily got the name of their own boy suggested there-from.

11. It will thus be seen that the boy was not selected by the majority, that the majority selected one Sivasankara Sarma and that the present order has been obtained by creating in the mind of the Government a false impression. The Petitioners do not impute any motive to any Officer, but only - state the facts as they are and as they can - be verified from the records.

12. The Sivaganga Mutt had no right to suggest any name, and it was not only - unauthorised on the part of the Sub-Division Officer but it went counter to the order of the Government who had appointed a Committee to suggest a name. The Committee's suggestion was kept back and turned down and the Sivaganga Mutt's suggestion taken up and re-commended as majority selection, all at the instance of the nephews. The Petitioners -

humbly

humbly request the Government to consider why and how it all happened.

13. The object of the nephews and the part they have played in perpetrating this - fraud on the Government is clear from their subsequent conduct. After thus getting their own son selected under guise of majority selection, they have proceeded to apportion the Mutt properties among themselves and have gone so - far as to register a Document embodying their rights and their respective shares on division as if the Mutt was their private property. The Petitioners have appended herewith copy of the Deed, but, since an authenticated copy would - not be given to them, they pray that Government may call upon the nephews to produce the Deed in Original. These nephews have thus - proceeded from the beginning to defy and defeat the Government and to treat the Mutt as private property; and failing therein, they have got their own boy appointed by creating a false and improper suggestion that the boy was selected by a majority.

Call for original deed at once
3/9/75

14. The Petitioners believe that the Government would not have appointed the said - Siddalinga Devaru but for the allegation that he was selected by a majority of the Disciples; and that allegation being not true and the choice of the majority being one Sivasankara Sarma, the Petitioners believe that, if the true state of facts had been placed before Government, they - would

would have appointed only the said Siva-sankara Sarma.

15. The Petitioners most humbly and respectfully pray that the whole matter be enquired into and the fraud exposed. They pray that the truth be found out and the persons responsible for the suppression of truth and suggestion of the false be suitably dealt with.

16. That these Petitioners were not aware of the orders until the nephews began - to apportion the Mutt property and latterly - to make hurried arrangements for installing - their boy as Successor. The Petitioners have at once come up; and they pray that Government may be pleased to order a thorough enquiry into the real state of affairs in view of the statements made herein and to direct the stay of further proceedings until the truth of the matter is ascertained. The - Petitioners humbly submit that the Disciples of the Mutt are greatly agitated over the present order and that the fraud perpetrated, by whomsoever it be, deserves to be detected and dealt with.

17. Since the boy, Siddalinga Devaru, is arranged to be installed as Successor on 23-8-1935, unless Stay is ordered meanwhile, - and since, if he is allowed to be installed, the fraud would have been successfully and irretrievably

irretrievably perpetrated, it is humbly prayed that A STAY ORDER MAY FORTHWITH BE ISSUED directing Stay or postponement of installation - until final orders are passed.

18. The Petitioners finally pray that the order under Review may kindly be set aside ~~that the Government may be pleased to~~ and appoint as Swami of the Mutt either Sivasankara Sarma, the choice of the Committee appointed by them (which is really the majority - choice), or Siddananjappa, the choice of the late Swami as per his Will (who is equally - welcome to the majority) ~~be appointed.~~

Bangalore,
16th August, 1935.

ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONERS.

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE BANGALORE
21. AUG. 1935

4 | Mez 36-35-3 & 21-8-35.

Forwarded with compliments to the Mujrai Commissioner for favour of report together with the connected record.

By order

20/8/35

for General Secretary to Govt.

G.S

Memo  H e 13/35 36
H 8 35

Forwarded with compliments to the Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dist. for submission of the connected records with a full report on the points urged in the petn.

The original petn. may be returned with the rept.

[illegible]
for Inspector General

21/8

COURT FEE

EIGHT ANNAS

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

...

R e a d:

Letter No. 485/34-35 dated 2nd February 1935 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore regarding the succession of a Swami to Sri Jangam Mutt at Kalya, Magadi Taluk.

....

Order No. 1396-7/Muz.215-34-2

Dated Bangalore 26th April 1935.

Sri Jangam Mutt at Kalya, Magadi Taluk, is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Devadaya Inam lands. The previous swami of the Mutt is reported to have passed away on 23rd April 1930. Owing to differences of opinion among the disciples regarding the selection of a candidate for the vacant Peeta, the Sub Division Officer, Closepet, held a local enquiry on 9th July 1934, as a result of which it has been recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District that one Siddalinga Devaru, son of Mr. Mallaradhya of Kalya, selected by the majority of the disciples may be appointed as successor to the Mutt. The Muzrai Commissioner has sought

sanction to the above recommendation. Government are pleased to sanction the same.

Sd. B. Muddukrishnappa,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records.

The Comptroller to Government.

"C O P Y"

Copy applied for on 13. 8. 1935

Number of words 194

Copying & comparing 0-5-0

Charges - (Fives only).

Compd by G.S

Copy ready on 15/8/35

B Muddukrishnappa

For General Secretary to Gov.

G.S

ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಹಾಲಿಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದೆ
ನು ಹಂದರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.
ಬೆ ದಪ್ಪ ದಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸ್ಥಳಾಂತರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸುಮಾರು ಹಂದ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ
ಅಳವಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸರಿ. ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ದಾರಗಳನ್ನು. ಹಂಸಾಂಧ ದಾರಗಳು. ಈ ಸುಮಾರು ಬೆಲೆ
ಗಳಾಗಿ ಬರಬಹುದು ಕೆಲ್ಸಾಂತೆ. ಹಂಸು. ಈ ಕೆಲ್ಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಬರೆಯುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ
ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ
ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ದಪ್ಪ ದಾರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ
ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಈ ಸುಮಾರು. ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.
ಹಳ್ಳಿ ಕಡೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ
ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ
ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ದಪ್ಪ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ
ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ
ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ
ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ದಪ್ಪ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ
ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಂಸ ಹಂದರ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

COURT FEE

೨ ರೂ TWO RUPEES ೨ ರೂ

Before the Court of H. H. the Maharaja ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

1935—1936 ನೇ Thy Sector ನಂಬರು

| ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ | | ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೊನೆಂಟ್ |
|---|---|---|
| Puttaramaseddy & others | Vs. | |

ಮಃ ರಾ ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್., ಮತ್ತು

ಎಂ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ, ಬಿ ಎ., ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್. ಬಿ. ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ

Petitioner ..... ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಆಖೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಪ್ರೊನೆಸ್ಸಾಂವು, ದಾವಾಸ್ತಾಂವು ಸಹ ವಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾವಸುವಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ವಾವಸ್ ವಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ನಿಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ

ತಾರೀಖು

ರುಜು M-S-PuttaRamadiky
R.A.M. Magadi Taluk.

ಕೆ. ಎ. ಶೇಷಂ ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್ಸ್
ಸೇವಂತ್ರಿಯ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ
P.T.A.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಸರಿ ಚಿಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಗುರುತು ಮಕ್ಕಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೆ -

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್

ಯಜಮಾನ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ

పేజీ నంబర్ పక్కన సూచించాలి
సమర్పించాలి
కే. శ్రీనివాస మూర్తి రావు
౨, ౨ — . పేజీ లో ఉన్నవి

accepted

K.S. Bhadrayya Naidu
ACD for PAD
16.8.35.

ಶ್ರೀ

ಶುಭಮಸ್ತು

ಶ್ರೀಮಜ್ಜಗದ್ಗುರು ಪಂಡಿತಾಚಾರ್ಯಾಯನಮಃ ||

ಕೈಲಾಸಂ ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರಸ್ಯ ವದನಾದ್ಯೋ ಧೇನುಕುರ್ಣಾಖ್ಯಯಾ ಲಬ್ದ್ವಾ ಜನ್ಮ ಚತುರ್ಯುಗೇಷು ವಸುದಾಮೇತಾಂ
ಶಿವತ್ವಾಕುಲಾಂ|| ಕರ್ತುಂ ಶ್ರೀ ಗಿರಿಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಾ ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗಾ ಪುನರ್ಜನ್ಮನಾ
ನಂಮಂತಂ ಗುರು ಪಂಡಿತಾರ್ಯಮನಿಶಂ ಬಹ್ಮಾದ್ವಯಂ ಚಿನ್ಮಯಂ||

# ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವ ಆಹ್ವಾನ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ.

ಮ||ರಾ|| ~~ಶ್ರೀಮಾ~~ ..... ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ..... ||ಕ್ಷೆ

ಮಾಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಲ್ಯದ ಮಠದ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ದೇವರು ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ ಸಾಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ
ಇವರುಗಳು ಮಾಡುವ ~~ಕಾರ್ಯ~~

ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿಶ್ರೀ ವಿಜಯಾಭ್ಯುದಯ ಶಾಲೀವಾಹನ ವರ್ಷಂಗಳು ೧೮೫೭ನೇ ಶ್ರೀ ಯುವನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಶ್ರಾವಣ ಬಹುಳ ೧೦ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ (ತಾ 23-8-35) ಸೂರ್ಯೋದಯಾದಿ ೧೫-೭ ವಿಘಳಿಗೆಗೆ ನಲ್ಲುವ ಶುಭ ಅಭಿಜಿತ್ ತುಲಾಲಗ್ನದ ಅಂತ್ಯ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯರ ಮಕ್ಕಳು

## ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಗುರುಪರಂಪರಾ ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿಷೇಕ

ಮಹೋತ್ಸವವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲು ಈಶ್ವರ ಪ್ರೇರಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಈ ಶುಭ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ಪುತ್ರ ಮಿತ್ರ ಕಳತ್ರ ಭ್ರಾತೃ ಬಂಧುವರ್ಗದೊಡನೆ ೭ ದಿನ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಆಗಮಿಸಿ ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಸಾಂಗಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಗಳ ಅನುಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುವ ಕಲ್ಯದಮಠದ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ದೇವರು, ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ, ಸಾಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಶುಭಮುಹೂರ್ತ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಟ್ಟಗಳಿಗೆ ದಿಕ್ಷಾ ಸಂಸ್ಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಯದ ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತೆ

ತಾ|| 8-8-35
ಮಾಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

Sree Gajalakshmi Press B City

COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
206
20 AUG 1935
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
19 AUG 1935
No. 12266

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE - BANGALORE.

Muz: Petition No. of '35-'36

Stay Petition No. of '35-'36

Petitioners:

Putturama Setty and others,
Magadi Taluk.

***

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
19 AUG 1935
20/8/35

The petitioners most respectfully pray that a stay order may be issued directing stay of installation of Siddalinga Devaru as Swami of the Jangam Mutt at Kalya, Magadi Taluk for the following, and other, reasons set forth in the petition:

1. It is not true that the said Siddalinga Devaru was selected by a majority of the disciples. It is a totally false impression created in the -- mind of the Government; and petitioners are ready to prove the same

2. The person really selected by the majority is one Sivasankara Sarma and the person nominated by the late Swami in his will is one Siddananjappa. Each of these is worthy of the peeta and either of them could be selected

3. The said Siddalinga Devaru is the son of one Mallaradhya who with his brothers had been misappropriating the Mutt properties and had been -- complained against by the late Swami whereupon Government attached the lands and leased them out on five

on five year tenure. How they manoeuvered to get their ~~boy~~ own boy appointed and how they have now partitioned the Mutt properties among themselves openly and without the least fear of Government -- have been set forth in the petition filed.

4. There has been adopted in the case a thoroughly wrong procedure by the Sub Division - Officer in ignoring the disciples and the committee and in asking for and accepting the nomination by the Sivaganga Swami who was no other than the close relation of the said Mallaradhya.

5. The said Siddalinga Devaru is not the chosen of the disciples; but those responsible for putting him up - and they are only the father and uncles of the boy - are hurrying up the installation in order to make the choice a fait accompli and prevent even the Government from interfering.

6. If the stay is not ordered the fraud would be irretrievable and the Government, even if satisfied of the wrong, will be unable to remedy the injustice. There is no particular hurry to install anyone at once. If it is ultimately found that he was selected by the majority the installation can be made then. The records themselves prove that the boy was not selected by the -- majority

The petitioners, therefore, most humbly and respectfully pray that a stay order may be issued as expeditiously as possible since the installation is sought to be made on Friday the 23rd August 1935..

Bangalore City,
19th August 35.

M.T. Narasimha Iyengar
M. Shankar
Advocate for Petitioners.

Immediate

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 255
Mag 36-35-4

Dated, Bangalore, 21st August 1935

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo No.254 dated 21st August 1935, I am directed to state that Mr. Putturama Setty and 6 other residents of Kalya Village, Nagadi Taluk have requested for a stay of the orders issued in Government order No.1396-7/Muz.210-34-2 dated 26th April 1935 sanctioning the nomination of one Siddalingadevaru to succeed to the Peeta of Sri Jangam Math at Kalya. In view of the circumstances urged by the petitioners, I am to request you not to give effect to the Government order referred to above until their Review Petition is finally disposed of by Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- H.V. Nanjaiyangar

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No. 256/Mag 21-8-35

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District for immediate action as the installation of Siddalingadevaru is understood to be fixed for the 22nd inst.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

Date of issue 21-8-35

Signed by Mr B. Muthuswamy Iyer
village, Magadi Taluk,

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Misc

File No. 36/35

Serial No. 4.

No. 255

To

The M.

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo No 254 of 21/8/35 I am directed to state that Sri Puttaramaiya and others of the Accidents of Kalya have requested for a stay of the order issued in G.O. No 1396-7/Misc 285-34-2 of 26/4/35 sanctioning the nomination of one Sri Devaraj to succeed to the Peetha of Sri Tampan, at Kalya. In view of the circumstances mentioned by the petitioners I am

You ~~to be so good as to~~

not to give effect to the

~~above said~~ Govt. order referred to above until

their Review petn is finally

disposed of by Govt.

Thanks etc

21/8

For Gen Sec 256 & 21-8-35

Copy to the D.C. Ramanathapuram for immediate

action as the installation of Sivalingadevaru

is ~~[illegible]~~ fixed for the 23rd inst.

B.O

21/8

For Gen Sec

Copy to the Amildar Nagari.

~~with the direction that the~~

installation Mr Mallarashya

& Palarashya of Kalyan should be

instructed not to hold the

installation Ceremony on the 23rd

only in view of the stay order. The

Chairs personally see that the installation does not take place on the day

B.O

For Gen Sec

21/8

D. O. No. 257 GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Misc 36-35-5 Dated, Bangalore 21st August 1935.

Dear Sir,

With reference to petition dated 19th August 1935 filed by you on behalf of Mr Putturama Setty and six other residents of Kalya Village, Magadi Taluk, regarding the succession to the Jangam Mutt at Kalya, I am directed to inform you that the request of the petitioners for a stay of Government Order No.1396-7/ dated 26th April 1935 on the subject is granted till the review petition is finally disposed of.

Yours faithfully,

For General Secretary to Government.

To

K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Advocate, Cottonpet, Bangalore City.

Appendix F G—11

SUBJECT .....

Section Meg

File No. 36-35

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 257

To

Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar B.A. B.L.
Advocate. Cuddapah
Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 21-8-35

Signed by K. B. Meenakshisundaramappa

Puttuswamy Settyand six other

Dear Sir,

With ref.ce to your letter dt 19/8/35 filed by you on behalf of ~~certain~~ residents of Kalya village, Magadi Tk., regd. the Succession to the Temple Mutt at Kalya, I am ~~desired~~ directed to inform you that ~~you~~ the requests of the petitioners for a stay of ~~the~~ your order No 1396-7 dt 26/4/35 on the subject is ~~conditional~~ ~~complied~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~The~~ review petn is finally ~~disposed of~~ turned down.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Refer now
Ans. A.2

228
GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
23 AUG 1935

C. INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

NOTICE

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram

Charges to pay: Rs. 36 As 35 — Office Stamp: 23 AUG 1935 G.T.D.

Mr

| Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minute. | Service Instructions. | Words. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bangalore | 22 | 17 | - | | 63 |

Recd. here at 17 M. 10

TO

23 AUG

Secretary general and Revenue Secretariat mysore govt Bangalore

Ceremonies begun on hill Kalya jangam mutt peetha at Siddalingadevaru yesterday to-day only

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.
Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—73 (B-8)—10 4-33—2,00,000 Bks.

| Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minute. | Service Instructions. | Words. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TO | | | | Recd. Here at M. | M. |

II

tom towed in Kalya village saying ceremonies should be stopped already spent fivehundred rupees for ceremonies

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Karim Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—73 (B-8)—19-4-33—2,00,000 Bks.

| Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minute. | Service Instructions. | Words. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TO | III | | | Recd. Here at M. | M. |

otherwise sustaining heavy loss
important functions were
men arrived in numbers
only pectaro-kana remaining
obeying original orders

Kalandadyar Kotya

*N. B.*—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

arim Bux Bros., Printers, Calcutta—73 (B-8)—19-4-33—2,00,000 Bks.

Appendix F.G.-1

SUBJECT

Immediate

Section Mu3

File No. 36-35

Serial No. 7

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

~~To~~

X P. 11.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 26-8-35

Signed by Muddukrishnappa

(Here enter Copy of Telegram of 22/8/35 from Palaradhya - Kalyan Mandapam to 273 Sec 1.

Copy

The above is forwarded with the request ~~to be taken Yours as expeditious~~ that the submission of the report called for in this office memo no. 25 ~~[illegible]~~ 8, 26.8.35 may kindly be expedited.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GS
26/8

COURT FEE

ONE RUPEE

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಂಟು ಮೆಂಡು ಮಾರ್ಜನೆಕೆ ವಿಸ್ತಾರ್ಷ್ಟ ಮಾಳ್ಳು ಮಂಜುರಾಯಿ ಜನರಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಪರಕ್ಕು ಜಾಲುಜನಾಳಿಗೆ.

233

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ರು.

ಮಾಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸುಬಾಹೋಬಳಿ. ಕಲ್ಲದ. ಮಠದ. ಪಾಂಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಮುಳ್ಳಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಪುಟಪ. ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಪ್ಪ. ನಾವು 1396ನೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಾಕ್ಷಿಯಂಟು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕ್ರಾಂದಿದ ಶಿವ್ವಲಿಂಗ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಡಕಳ್ಳಕಾರ್ಯ. ತಾ. 21-8-1935ನೆ ಬುಧವಾರ. ಕಸಂಜೆನೆ ಬಾರಂಬವಾಗಿ. ಕಡೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರಿಯಾಗಿರು ವ. 22-8-1936ನೆ ಸೋಮವಾರರಾತ್ರಿ 12 ಗಂಟೆ ವೇಳೆಗೆ. ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ವೀರಶೈವ ವಿಧಿಪ್ರಕಾರ. ಶವಪುಣ್ಯ. ನಾಂದಿ. ಅಂಕುರಾರೋಪಣ. ದಶವಿಧ ದೇವತಾಪೂಜೆ. ಪಂಚಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಲಶಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ. ಸ್ಥಾನಕಲಶ. ಶಾಂತಿ. ಅಗ್ನಿಹೋಮಾದಿಕಾರ್ಯ. ಸೂತ್ರಕ್ರಾಕಟ್ಟಲು. ಬ್ರಹ್ಮಗಂಟು ಕಟ್ಟಲು. ಬಾಕಿವುಳಿದ. ವೀರಾಚಾರೋಪಣ. ಚಿಕಾಪನ. ಲಿಂಗಾಂಗಸ್ಥವ. ಶಿಷ್ಯವಟುಗಳಿಗೆ ವೀರಶೈವಮಾರ್ಗ ಮಾಡಲು ಈಕಡೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪಡೆದು ಯಂದನಿಂತಿರುವ. ಪೀಠಾರೋಹಣ. ಚಿಕಾಪಲಿಂಗಾಂಗಸ್ಥವ. ಶಿಷ್ಯವಟುಗಳಿಗೆ ವೀರಶೈವ ವಿಧಿಮಾಡಿಕಾಪ್ಪಾಗಮಾಡಲು ಈಕಡೆ ನವೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಂಜಾಯಿತಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದು ಅರ್ಜಿ:—

(1) ಈ ಪಟ್ಟದಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಮುಗಿಸಬೆ ಬಿಡಕ್ಕೂಡದು ವೀರಶೈವ ವಿಧಿಗನಾಮ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತಾಭಿಷ್ಟ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ. ನಂಬ ದಿನಗಳಾಚಂಗ.

(2) ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ದಿನ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ. ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿರುವ 500 ನೂರು ರೂಪಾಯಿವುಳ್ಳ ಯುಕ್ತಿಕಾರ್ಯಪ್ರಾಪ್ತಿಗನಾಡುಕ್ಕೆ ವೀರಶೈವ ವಿಧಿಯಂತೆ ಇನ್ನೂ 2 ದಿವ್ಸಹೋದರೆ. ಎಲ್ಲಾನ ಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತೆ. 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚು ಹೋಯಿತು.

(3) ಕಾರ್ಯನೆರವೇರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದರೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್. ಶೀಕಂದಾರ್ರು ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದಲೆರವಾಂಡಿಸಿದ್ದರು ಎಷ್ಟ ಕಮ ಮಿನೆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿರುವದು. ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನಸೇರಿತು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ

(4) ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಕಾರ್ಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೋಸಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಶಿವ್ವಲಿಂಗ ದೇವರಿಗೆ. ವೀರಾಚಾರ ಪಟ್ಟಕಟ್ಟಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಮರ್ಜಿನವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮರ್ಜಿನವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿದರು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಮರ್ಜಿನವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮರ್ಜಿನವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿದರು

(6) ಶಿವ್ವಲಿಂಗದೇವರಿಗೆ ಪೂಜೆಮಾಡಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಶಿವ್ವಲಿಂಗ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಪಟ್ಟ ಕಟ್ಟಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮರ್ಜಿನವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟ

ಪ್ರಿಯಂಕರು ಸಾರ್ವಮೆಂಟು ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರು ಜಂಬಿ
ಜನರಲ್ ಸೇಲ್ಸ್ ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜನಾಬಿ.
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ರು.

ಶಂಗಡಿ ಕಾಲ್ಮ. ಕೆಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ. ಕಲ್ಲಿದ ಜಂಗಮರು ಶರದ
ಬಾಲಂಕಾಂಡ್ಸ್. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಪ್ಪ. ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿಪ್ಪ. ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ
ನಮ್ಮಬಾಂಬು. ಕಲ್ಲಿದಮಠಕ್ಕೆ. ನಮ್ಮವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಲಂಗಡೇವರಿಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವ
ಮೆಂಟು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಮುಂದಾಲು ಪಾಲು
ಕಲ್ಲಿದಾಗಿ ಕಡೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ದೆಸೆಯಿಂದ ನಿಂತುಬಂದಿರುವ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಕಾರ
ಉಳಿ. ಬಿಡಾಪುನಲೀಲಾವಿಶ್ವ. ಶಿಷ್ಯವರ್ಗಗಳ್ಳದೀಕ್ಷಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ್ ಆರ
ರ್ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು 27-8-1935ನೆ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರೂ ಒರೂಪು ಹಾಜ
ರಾಗಿ ನಿದಾನಮಾಡಲು. ಕೆಷನ ಷನಗಳುಂ ಹೊಗುತ್ತವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿ
ಹಾಜರ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡದ್ದುಗಳಿಯಷ್ಟೆ
(1) ಇನ್ನೂ ನಿದಾನವಾದಲೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯಕಿಡುತ್ತೆಂದು ದಿಗಿಲಿನಿಂದ.
ಪುನಃ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಹಾಜರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಖರ್ಚ
ನ್ನು ಪುಳಿಸಿ.
(2) ಅಂಶುರಾದೂರೆಪಟ್ಟ ಕಲಶಾಪುನೆ ಬಾಕಿ ಕವಾದ್ದುಹಾಗೆಇರು
ತ್ತೆ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈಕ್ಷಿಮಂಡಿ ಆಗದೆ ಪೀಠಲೇಕಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಆಗಿ
ಜಾಗ್ರತೆದಯ ಪಿಡಬೇಕು.
(3) ಪ್ರಿಪ್ರಾಸರಿಂದ ರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಪಟ್ಟಾಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಘ್ನವಾಡಬೇ
ಕೆಂದು ಹತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೆಂಡಿರುವರಿಪ್ರಾ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ.
ಪ್ರಿಪ್ರಾಶಿ ಮೋಸನಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವು ಪುಟ್ಟಿ ಹಾಳುಕ್ಕೇವೆ ಸಾರ್ವಮೆಂ.
ಟು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಉಲ್ಲಂಘಿಸುವುದು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕಾನೆ ಅದಿಕಾರವಿರುತ್ತೆ
(4) ಈಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗಿರುವ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಆರ್ಡರುಗಳ್ಳು ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಪೀಠ
ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾ
ಜೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಗೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಪಟ್ಟ
ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಯಾರಾಗಲು ಶ್ರೀಮಲಂಗಡೇವರಿಗೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ.
(5) ಈ ಪಟ್ಟದ ಹಿಂದೆ ನಮ್ಮ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯ ನಡೆದುಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೆ
ಕೆಪಟ್ಟ ನಂಬುಂಡ ಕಾಗಿ ಗಳ್ಳು ಕೊಂಡಾನಮ್ಮ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪಂದಿರು ಅವ
ರಿಗೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಇದ್ದ ಪಟ್ಟಪ್ಪಗಳು ನಮ್ಮ ಕೊಂಡಾ ದೊಡ್ಡಪ್ಪಂದಿರು
ಇವರಿಗೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಇದ್ದರು ಕೊಂಡಾನಮ್ಮ ತಾತ. ನಾಂವ್ರ ಕೊಂಡಾ ಅ
ಣಿಕವಂದಿರ ಮಕ್ಕಳು. ಯುಲ್ಲರು ಮಠದ ಕೆಲ್ಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಪಟ್ಟ
ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಸಡೆಂಯುಕರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.
(6) ದಾಸೋಹ ನಡೆಸುವುದು ಪ್ರಾಜೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು. ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವ
ಡುಪ್ಪುಸುಜಾಂಗಿ ಬಾಗುವಳ್ಳಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬಾ ಮಿಗಳ್ಳಿಂದ ಅಸಾಧ್ಯ
ವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಸಡಾಯುಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಈ ಕೆಲ್ಸ ನಡೆಸುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕರವಾಳು
ಕಿಡುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.
(7) ಈ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂಧಾಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಲ್ಲ ಆ ಮಠದ ಪೀ
ಠವಲ್ಲ: ಅಮರದಾಸಾರ್ಯ ಸೋಕಾದಿಗಳ್ಳು ಅಲ್ಲ.
(8) ಇ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ವಗಂಗ ಕರಡು ಮಠ. ಪರ್ಶಕಾಂಪ್ಲಿ

పండితారాధ్యరపీఠ వృషభస్థాపకులు.

(1) శిష్యులు మాండిరువరు. ఎల్లరు శివమంత్రదవరలు ఉపాధ్యాయులు. పాండ్యరు. పాండవసేనయందు సాపాటిజనగళు శిష్యులు మాండలు ఇవరిగే అధికారవిల్ల -

(10) శిష్యులు మాండలు ఒళిం గళు వాయిందే కళ్ళదిరుత్తిరువ వేళెయిందినఆర్యరు మన్నుమరాంబరినచేరిరు.

శిష్యరు విజాలశాపే. యోంబిదిక్కె ఆర్యరిగే విరోధవాగి ఎందిగుంనడెయుత్తిరువ సింహిరువళ్ళు శిష్యనాదనేబరదంతే పాంగళిగే ఆర్యరిమాండుచేరాగి వాదిసిదరు.

కే 29-8-1935

శుల్లారాజ్ గు. అప్పిశెట్టి నరుసు
పాలారాధ్యనరుసు

A report has always been called for on the review petn. - The lower court records - A stay not has also been issued - In view of this no action is called for on this petn as prelim. For perusal

6/9/35

Gen: Secy)

6/9/35

7/9

7/9

7 SEP 1935 197

COURT FEE
ಹೊಲಾಂದಿಂ ಸಿ.
21-9-1935
ONE ANNA

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIA
376
1 - OCT 1935

ಮೈಸೂರಾರ್ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಮಿಂಯು ಸಿವಿನಪ್ಪಾ. ಜನರಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿಸಾಹೇಬ್
ಬಳವಳ್ಳಿ ಜಹಾಗೀರ್ ಜನಾಯತಿ..

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ರು.

ಮಾನಸಿಶಾಲ್ಯ. ಕಳುಹಾಕ್ಕಿಗಾಳುಳ್ಳ. ಕಲ್ಲಿದಜಂಗಮ. ಮಠದ.
ಪ್ಯಾಲಾರಾಪ್ಪ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಪ್ಪ. ವ್ರಕಪ್. ಅಜ್ಜಾಜಪ್ಪ. ನಂಗಾ ವಕಪ್ಪ.
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ರು ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದೇನಂದರೆ:

(1) ನಮ್ಮ ಹಾಳುಕಲ್ಲದ. ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ. ನಮ್ಮವರಾದ ಶಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗವೇವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಮೆಂ
ಯ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕ್ರೆಕಾಪ ಪ್ಪುವಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಕಮ್ಮಕೊಂಡ್ ಇನಿಂದಾವತಡೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ವೆಸ್ಟ
ಯಂದ ನಂಕಿಕ್ಕುಳಿಲಿಳ್ಳಾನಕಿಲ್ಲ ವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಮುಗಿಸಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಅಪ್ಪನಿಕೊಂಡಿಳ್ಳ
ದಿಂದು ತಾ. 27-8-1935 ನೆ ಮುಂಗಳ್ಳವಾರ ರೂಲುರೂಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಜಾಜ್ ಮಾಡಿ
ಪ್ಪುಸಿರಿಯುಷ್ಟೆ.

(2) ಇನ್ನಾಯಿಯಾವ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನು ಅಪ್ಪನಿಕೊಂಡಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಶಿಪ್ರಾಳಕರಾರು ನೆಂಪಿ
ಸ ಆರಳುಹುದೆಂದು ತಿಳ್ಳಿಯುಕ್ತಿ. ಶಿಪ್ರಾಳಕರಾರು ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ
ನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಾಂಬರಿಸಬೇಕು. ನಮ್ಮ ಹವಾಲನ್ನು ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

(3) ಈ ಶಿಪ್ರಾಯಮಾಡಿರುವ ವರಿಗಾಮಠಕ್ಕೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಸೆಜಾತಿಗ.
ಳಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಪಂಗಡದವರಾಸಕರಲ್ಲ. ಪರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳಲ್ಲ. ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲ. ಮಾ
ವಾಗಲೂ ಮಠದ ಆಚಾರವಿಚ್ಛನಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಶಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಶಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾಂ
ದವಾಯಿದೆ ಯುಲಿಕಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಶಿವಾಜಿಜಾಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದವರು.

(4) ನಾವು ಕರಿಗಾ. ಮೂಲಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಾರರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಗಳ್ಳಂದೆ. ಕಾಲ ಮು
ಕಾಲಂದಿರು. ಸುಜ್ಞಾಧಿಕಾರವಾಡಿದವರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನಮಗೆ. ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಿವಾಡ.
ಚೇಲಿಸುವ ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು. ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಕಾ
ಚೇರಿವರ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಾದ ಪೆಸರಿನ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಜಿ ಮಾ
ಡ್ಬಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕ್ರೂಂದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆಕಿಲಾಗೋಡಿನಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂಜಿ. ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಷ್ಯ
ಪ್ಪಕೆಲ್ಲ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಮಠವನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರಿಯರು ನಾವು ಸಹಕಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಾಗಿರು
ತ್ತೆ.

(5) ಈ ಶಿಪ್ರಾಯಂದಿರುವವರು ಕೀಳ್ಳುವ ಪುಷ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಕಳ್ಳ. ವಮುಗಳ್ಳಿಯ.
ಶಿವಶಂಕರಯ್ಯಮರ್. ಸಿದ್ದನಂಜಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬುವವುಗಳ್ಳಲ್ಲ.
ಶಿವಶಂಕರಯ್ಯಮರ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಮಿಕ್ರಪವನಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಪಂಗಡದವನಲ್ಲ. ಪೀಠಾ
ರೋಹಣ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಿಕಿಂಗಾಗುತ್ತೆ ಆರ್ಡರಿಂದ ಪೆಳ್ಳಿಬಿ.
ಜರ್ಕ್ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿನಲ್ಲ ಪಜಾಕರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರಿಕಾಕ್ಕೆ.
ಸಿದ್ದನಂಜಪ್ಪ ಪ್ರಿಯಮನು. ಗುರುಮನೆತನದವನಲ್ಲ. ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಕ್ಕೆ. ವರ್ತಕ
ಮಂಡಲಿಯವನು. ನಮ್ಮ ಸಾಕ್ರಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಕ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾಕ್ರಸ್ಥ. ವಾದರಿಂದ ಕ್ಷ
ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಿ ವಿಜ್ಞಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿನಲ್ಲ. ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿದ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಕಿಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರಿಕಾಕ್ಕೆ.
ಪಯುಕ್ತಿಸಹ. ಸುಮಾರು 9-10 ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಯುಗಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿದ್ದಾನೆ.
ಈಗ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಿರುವ ನಮ್ಮವರಾದ ಶಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗದೇವರು. ಗುರುಕಡವಂಶಕ್ಕೆ.
ನಾಗಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಕ್ರದ ಪರಾಗಿಯಾಗಿ ದಿಕ್ಷಾಬದ್ಧರಾಗಿ ಯುಗಳ್ಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ.
ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು. ಶಿಷ್ಯರು. ಹುಕಹುಕ್ಕಿನವಾಗಿ ಸುಖಪಿಸುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕಳ್ಳಮಠ್ಯನೆಸಂಪ್ರದಾ
ಕನ್ನದ ವಿಚಾರವಂಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಮುಂದೆ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕ್ಷ
ಸಿಸ್ಸುಳ್ಳಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮುಂಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಮಾಡಿದಾರೆ.

(6) ಮೈಸೂರಾಧ್ಯನಮ್ಮ ಅಕ್ಕಪ್ಪಂದಿರಾದ ಪುಟ್ಟನಂಜಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ನ [illegible]
ದಿ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ ಬಳವಳ್ಳಿ ಹಾಳುವಾಗಿ ಕ್ಷ ಹಾ ಮಿ ನ [illegible]
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಂದ ಲೂಂ ವಿಜ್ಞಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಿನಲ್ಲ ಕೆಂಡಸಿದ್ದನಂಜಪ್ಪ ನೆಸರು ಮನೆತನದ [illegible]
ಕ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಲ್ಲ.

(7) ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕರಾರು. ಅಮಾಂ [illegible] ಶಿಪ್ರಾ [illegible]
ಲ್ಲಾಜ್ ಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನಾವು ಶಿಪ್ರಾಕರಾರಿ [illegible]
ಕೇರಿನವರಾ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ [illegible] ಮಠದ [illegible]
ಹೂಡುವುದ [illegible] ಮಠದ [illegible]
ಜಾಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು [illegible] ಮಠದ [illegible]
ನ ಆಗಬಹುದುಮಲ್ಲ ವಾದರೆ [illegible]

(8) ರಂಗಾಪುರಿಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಮಠ. ಶಿಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ [illegible]
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ವಿನ: [illegible] ಅವರು. [illegible] ನಿಂದ [illegible]
ನಿಬ್ಬರಿದಲ್ಲ ಆಸ್ತಿಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ಕವಲ್ಲ. ಆಸ್ತಿ [illegible]
ದ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು [illegible] ಅವರು [illegible]

[illegible]

ತಾರೀಖು. 21-9-1938

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

GENERAL DECREE
5 OCT. 1935
137

~~It is stated that no action is called for now, as~~ The report on the Review petition of the petitioner ~~for~~ has not yet been received from the M.C. May the receipt be awaited for perusal of the reports be awaited?

3/10/35 Submitted for orders.

Gen: Secy)

4/10/35

10.10

No. HC 13/35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRA MISSIONER IN RE,

Bangalore,... 10th..Oct. 1935

FROM

N MADHAVA RAU, ESQ, BA, BL,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz 36 35 8

To

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., P.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....General... .. .. Department

SIR

Review petition re: succession of a Pattadhikari to the Jangam Mutt at Kalya, Magadi Taluk.

---

With reference to your Memo No.254/Muz.36-35-3 dated 21-8-1935 calling for a report with records re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C3-743/35-36 dated 26-9-1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, together with the records received therewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. Rudrappa
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters.)

Letter No.C3-743/35-36 dated 26-9-35 from the Deputy Commissioner galore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

---

With reference to your Memo No.H.C.13/35-36 dated 21-8-1935 calling for e records relating to the appointment of a Swami to the Sri Jangam Mutt at lya in Magadi Taluk, have the honor to forward herewith the entire re-rds ending with the Sub Division Officer's Memo No.A3-177/35-36 dated -9-1935. This office file No.C3-R.2314/31-32 is also enclosed.

The Amildar's report No.M.C.36/35-36 dated 16-9-1935 answers all the oints raised in the petition of Mr. Puttaramasetty and others filed before vernment on 17-8-1935. The petition is enclosed.

---

"COPY"

G. Rudrappa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
12 DEC 1935
660
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಮೆಂಟು
ರಿವಿನ್ಯೂ ಜನರಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿಯವರ
ಹುಜೂರು ಜನಾಬಿಗೆ -

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು.

ಮಾಗಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂ. ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ. ಕಲ್ಲದಮಠದ. ಪಾಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಪುರಹಿ. ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಪ್ಪ. ಮತ್ತು. ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ..

ನಮ್ಮಬಾಬ್ತು. ಕಲ್ಲದಮಠಕ್ಕೆ. ನಮ್ಮವರಾದ. ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಮೆಂಟು. ಆರ್ಡರ್ ನಂಬರ್. 1396-7/215-34-2 ತಾರೀಖು 23/26.-4-1935 ನೆ ಮತ್ತುಂ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮುಖೇನ. ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗದೇವರಿಗೆ. 23-8-1935 ರಲ್ಲು. ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಲು. ಮುಖ್ಯಲು ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಲ್ಲವಾದದ್ದು ಮೇಲಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಮೆಂಟು ಕಡೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಜಾರಿ ಆದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸೆಯಿಂದ 1/4 ಕಾಲು ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಲ್ಲ. ನಿಂತಿರುತ್ತೆ. ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಕೆಲ್ಲವಾದಿ. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡುವ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ.

27-8-1935 ನೆ ಮತ್ತುಂ ನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಯರಾಯರಾಜರಾಗಿ. ಸರಿಯವರಾದ. ಇಮ್ಮಂಪ್ರಕಿರಾಮಪ್ಪ. ಅರ್ಜಿಹಾಜರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಯಿನಂತ್ರಾಂಯವರಾವ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.
ಮುಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರಾಜಾಸಂಕಲ್ಪ ಹಾಗೆ ಯಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಸಂಂರಾಷಾಯಿನಷ್ಟಿವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈವರಿವಿಗೆ ಅನೇಕನಷ್ಟಿವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಯಿಂದಾಗಲಾದರು. ದಿವ್ಯಚಿತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ. ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ ಇಂತಿ -.

ತೆ 12-12-1935 ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಲು. ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಪ್ಪನವರು ಗಂಗಾಧರಯುವರು

ಪಾಲಾರಾಧ್ಯನವರು

2) The petitioners are the nephews of the deceased Swamis of the Tungam Math at Kalya referred to in the Secretary's note — paras 40 of the note placed before

(3) The residential [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

4) In these circumstances, no action is called for on this Petn — [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

I members orders re the present date of hearing and the number of cases to be posted for next date are awaited.

12/12/38

Gen: Secy)

Seen thanks

Nizo
12/12

12/12




Govt of Mysore Bangalore ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

1935-1936 ನೇ Suit No. 5 ನಂಬರು

| ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ | | ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೊನೆಂಟ್ |
|---|---|---|
| Puttaramma & others | Vs | Palaraghya & others |

V. R. Vasudeva Sastry, Esq B.A. L.L.B.

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ನೊಂಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು. ದಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ. ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 25/1/1936 } ರುಜು ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು

B. City

ಈ ನೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷನು ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. }

ಈ ನೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

Srinivasa-Narayan Press, B. City.

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
585
26 NOV. 1935

ಮೈಸೂರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟು ರಿವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು.

ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು: ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ. ಕಲ್ಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ ೧೮ ಮತ್ತು ೧೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೨ ಎಕರೆ ಅಳತೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಕಲ್ಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಜಮೀನು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲುಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವ ಕಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಆರ್ಕರ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಕೆಲಸ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ.

ಇನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಆರ್ಕರ್ ಕೆಲಸ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾಣ ಸಂಕಟ್ಟನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಗಿಸ್ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಂಕಟ್ಟನ್ನು ಮುಕ್ತಿ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಬಹಳ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತಲಿದೆ.

ದಿನ್ಯಾಪತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡ್ಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡ್ಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಂತಿ

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ಎ. ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಹಮೀದ್

ದಿ 16-11-1935

No action is called for in the Revenue - petitions are heard. Submit for perusal

G.S
26.11.35

This case may be included in the list of cases to be posted for hearing on the next Sitting day to be fixed by the I member. 26/11

GEN 19 NOV. 1935

19/11

Inw. G.3
He 13
35.6
Ecc.1

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, .....18th June..... 1936.

GEN & REV. SECRET
24. JUN. 1936
MUZRAI BR

1379

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

Muz 36-38-84

22 JUNE 1936

Mr. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.,

*Secretary to Government of His Highness*
*the Maharaja of Mysore,*

.....General.....*Department,*

Succession to Jangam Mutt at Kalya,
Nagadi Taluk.

---

In continuation of this office letter No.M.C.13/35-36 dated 11th October 1935 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a petition addressed to Government, but received in this office from Rudraiya and others of the village praying that the orders previously passed approving the nomination of Siddalingadevaru may be confirmed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K. V. Anantaraman
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters,

By Registered Post

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
7. MAY 1936

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

25-5-1936

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

కల్పవెట్టుంబళవరుజు

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు నురుశివరుజు చూచి దస్తావేజు
గా చేట్టుశేట్టి కాంచిదట్టి శాసనం . అట్టి నబరద
1691 - 92

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు నురుశివ . సంతకం
దమువు ఎట్టువ శాసనదు నుమెంకే, ఎట్టు కాంచిదట్ట
శిద్ధాంశ్రీ అయి నబరద
ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు నురు . . జుమీరశేట్టవ
గ వేంకటరవర శేట్టి కాంచిదట్ట శిద్ధాంశ్రీ అయి నబరద

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు నురుశివరుజు . . . . . .
కాంచిదట్ట శిద్ధాంశ్రీ అయి నబరద
1 సన్నప్పనరుజు

శిద్ధాంశ్రీ ఎట్టుకొట్ట బరద
అనియప్ప నలుగ . . . . అంగిట్టి నరుజు

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు . . . . . .

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు . . . . .

ఈ ఎరుదస్తావేజు . . . . . కాంచి

సిద్ధలింగయ్య

పెంటపప్పగారు

ఇందు నాకు గురువుగారు విగిలప్పయ్య పెద్ద పెంటప్పగారు

ఇందు నాకు యజ్ఞేశ్వర గురువుగారి మనవడు వారిదత్త పెంటపప్పగారు

పుట్టపర్తి నారాయణాచార్యులు

ఇందు నాకు నవ జ్యేష్ఠ గురువుగారి పుత్రులు పెద్ద పెంటప్పగారు

ఇందు నాకు యుగగురువుగారి పెంట పరమానందగారి పెంటప్పగారు

ఇందు నాకు సంపులుగారి చిన్నపప్పగారి పెంటప్పగారు

సర్వసంరక్షణము
శ్రీనాథవిరచిత పరిపిర్ణయనామక మంగళప్రదేశము

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

12/9

GENL. SECRETARIAT
10 JUL. 1936
MUZRAI BRANCH

SECRET
1936
NC

Muz 36 35-7

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
- 9 JULY 1936

ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜನತೆಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಪರಿಚಯ
ಕೀಳುವರವರ ಪ್ರಜಾಜನಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿಪ್ಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರಿಗೆ. ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಕೆ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಗೌಡರು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ.

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಡಿಪ್ಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜನತೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೆ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಗೌಡರು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಶೀಮಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ. ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ. ಕಲ್ಲೆದಪಂ ಲಿಂಗಸುಗೂರು ತಾ. ಹಂಚಿ ಕನ್ನಡಿಗರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಅಧ್ವಾನಗಳು ಇಷ್ಟು ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆಯಾಗಿ. ಕಾಪು ಹಂಚಿ ಮುಂಬಾಯಿ ಸೀಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ಲೇಗು ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದ್ದು ಲಂಚದೇವರಿಗೆ ಶಪ್ಪಾದಿಕಾರದ ಕೆಲ್ಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂತಿರುವ ಕೀಲುಬೊಂಬೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬೂಂಡಿ ಸುಗಿದಲು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಂಡರೆಕ್ಕಿಷ್ಟೆ ಸಾಕು -

೧. ನಾಪ್ರಕಲ್ಲದ ಸಂತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಕಾಕಿಷ್ಟು ಬಟ್ಟೆಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

೨. ನಾಪ್ರಕ್ಕಿಷ್ಟು ಹಾಕದೆ ಬೇಡುವವರಿದ್ದರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಸಿಲ್ಲಂತೆ ಶಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಶಪ್ಪಿಸಿಂಡಲು ನಾಕಿಲ್ಲರೂ ಕೆಳಕೆಂಪುಂಟಿಗೆ ಕಳ್ಳಬುದ್ಧಿಯಿಂದ ಇರುತ್ತೇವೆ

೩. ಈ ಶಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಸಂಗಾಲಿ ಸಂಕೇತಗಳಾಗಿ ಎರಡು. ಸಂಕ್ರಮಣ ಸೂರ್ಯ ಹುಣ್ಣಿಮೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಎರಡೂ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಕಡಿದ ಕೀಲ್ಕಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಮಾಂಸಾಯಿಸಲ್ಲುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸಂಗಾಲಿ ಸಂಕೇತಗಳಾಗಿ ಶಪ್ಪಿಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಸ್ಥರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

ಈ ಶಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಶಿಷ್ಯರೂ. ಸಂಗಾಲಿಗರೂ. ಸಂಗಾಲಿ ಶಕ್ಕನವರು ಸೂಂಡಿ ಶಪ್ಪಾದಿಕಾರ್ಯದ ಕೆಲ್ಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರೋಗಿದ್ದವರು. ಕಡೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಯಿಂದ ಹುಂಗೂರು ನಾನೂರೂರೂ ಕೂಲಿ ಶುಷ್ಟಿಸಂಗಡ ಕಾಗಿ ಶಯ್ಯ ಹುದು ಅನ್ನ ಆಹಾರ ಹಾಕಾಂಡಿ. ಸಲ್ಲುವಷ್ಟು ಕಾಂಡೆವು -

ಈ ಕಲ್ಲದ ಸ್ಥಾನಾಂತರದ ಹೋಲಿಕೆಯುಳ್ಳ ಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ಈ ಸಂತೆಯ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಕೀಲ್ ಕಾಂಪಿಗಳಲ್ಲುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಕಂದಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಈಗಲೂ ಕೂಲಿಕಾರರು ಸಡಿಲ ಶಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಹೊರಗಿನವರು ದರಿದ್ರಾಂಧ್ರಕೀಂಡಲು ಬಾಳ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಕಾಂಚಂದರು ಡಿಪ್ಟಿಷಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದಿನ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಂಡಯಂಗ್ ಇಷ್ಟು ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಶಪ್ಪಾದಿಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಂಡಯಂಗ್ ಡಿಪ್ಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು ಕಿಂದಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೀಲುಬೊಂಬೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೀಲುಬೊಂಬೆಗಳ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಂಡಿ ಸಿ ನಾವು ಇಂಚಿಂದ್ಲು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೊಂಡಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕಾಗಿ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

ಹೀಗಂತು ಶೇಳ್ಳಿದ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಕಾಳಜಿ ಕೂಲಿ ಕೂಲಿ ಅರಿತೆ ಸಂಗಿಕೊಂಡ್ಳುವಿಕೆ ಕಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

6-7 1936

ವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಜಾ ಸಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಪತ್ರಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಕಾಳಪ್ಪನವರಯ್ಯ

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಕಪನೈಯ್ಯಾದಾ

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಜಪರಯ್ಯ

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಮೋಕರಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ

ಕೇಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ವೀರಪ್ಪನವರ ಕಾಪಲಪ್ಪಾಯಯ್ಯಾದಾ
ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯನವರು

ಮೊದಲಿಪ್ಪನವರು

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ
ಬುದ್ಧಯ್ಯನವರು

ಅಪ್ಪಾ [illegible]
ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ

ಈ ವಿಕಸ್ಸು ಚಿಕ್ಕನವರು ವೀರಯ್ಯನವರಾಜಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ
ಮಗಯ್ಯನವರು

ಕಿ[illegible]
ಸಿದ್ಧಿರ[illegible]

ಬಸವಸಿದ್ಧರ[illegible]

ವೀರಭದ್ರನವರು

[illegible]

ಊಯಿರ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಮೋಕರಯ್ಯಾದಾ ಕಿಪ್ಪ

[illegible]

ಬಲು ಬಲ ಸೈಹಿಪ್ಪೆಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಕಿನವರು ಕಂಡಾದಯ್ಯ ಕಿಪ್ಪ

## ಸಿದ್ದಮಲ್ಲಿಯನರುಜು

ಈಯದನ್ನಿಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು. ಮಾದಂತನ ಬಗ್ಗಿಂಚ ಮ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮೊಂದ್ದವಲ್ಲರಮ್ಮನರುಜು

ಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯನರುಜು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಚೆಲುವಿಗ್ರಹಮ್ಮಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಈಯದ್ದೆಗೆಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಮತಿನರುಜು ಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಈಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುರುತೆನರುಜು ಚಿತ್ರಗ್ಗಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮರುಮ್ಮಾಬನವಯ್ಯನರುಜು

ಶಿಚ್ಚೆಂಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು. ರುಜು.

ಉಚ್ಚಿಗರಯ್ಯನರುಜು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಮೂರಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಮುದ್ದಪ್ಪನಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಈಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಈಶ್ವರಗ್ಗಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಅರಸಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ತಿಮ್ಮಯ್ಯನರುಜು

ಈಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಮುದ್ದಿಗರಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಮೋಕೆಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಚೋಮಯ್ಯಗರುಜು

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯಡಾಕಿದ್ದು-

ಮಾಯದಗ್ಗೆದಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದನಸುಶಿನರುಜು ಪ್ರಕಂಪನರುಜು

ಈಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಬೈಸೈಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಮಂಚ ಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ವೀರ ಪೆತ್ರಯ್ಯನಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ
ಲಾದಯ್ಯನರು ಜ

ಈಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಪೆತ್ರಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ.

ಚಿಂದಯ್ಯನರು ಜ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಚೋರಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಈಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಚೋರಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ರಂಗಾ ಪಟ್ಟಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಡೋಂಬಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಲಿಂಗಸೆತಯ್ಯಾಡಾಕಿದ್ದ
ಸೀವಚಂದಯ್ಯನರು ಜ
ಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯನರು ಜ.

ಬೊಂಗಶಿಕ ಜ
ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಲ್ಲಯ್ಯನರು ಜ

ಊಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪಡಾಕಿದ್ದ

ಈಯತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೆಸ್ಸೈಪ್ಪಿನ ಸುಶೀನರು ಜ ಕಾಳಪ್ಪಡಾಕಿದ್ದ.

ವೀರಯ್ಯನರು ಜ

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA

OF MYSORE.

---

Muzrai Review No.5 of 1936-6

---

| Review Petitioners. | Vs. | Counterpetitioners. |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Puttarama Setty<br>2. K.V. Venkataramiah<br>3. Muddu Setty<br>4. Savandiah<br>5. Chick Narasimhiah<br>6. Guru Nanjappa<br>7. K. Srinivasa Murthy Rao<br><br>Residents of Kalya Village, Magadi Taluk. | | 1. Mallaradhya<br>2. Mallaradhya alias Appajappa<br>3. Gangadharappa<br><br>Residents of Kalya Village, Magadi Taluk. |

---

S U B J E C T.

Request for a review of Government Order No.1396-7/Muz. 315-34-2 dated 26th April 1935 sanctioning the succession of Siddalingadevaru, son of Mallaradhya, to the vacant Peeta of Sri Jangam Mutt at Kalya, Magadi Taluk.

---

The case was called on Friday the 13th December 1936. The Review Petitioners with their Counsel Mr. L.T. Bhashyam Iyengar, B.A., B.L. and the counter-petitioners with their Counsel Mr. V.M. Masudeva Sastry, B.A., L.L.B were present and were heard.

---

~~Order No.~~ ~~ORDER.~~ Order

~~Dated~~, ~~Bangalore~~ the ~~31st~~ ~~December~~ ~~1936.~~

After hearing the parties, Government consider that there are not sufficient reasons for setting aside the order already passed. The petitioners are not all interested as disciples of the Mutt which is a Lingayet Mutt, two of them being Brahmins. The selection of Siddalingadevaru is not open to any serious objection. There is no doubt the apprehension that the counter-petitioners will continue to exploit the opportunities afforded by this Young Swami's relationship to them. However the properties are under Government [illegible]

management now and this has to be continued till the young Swami becomes a major, when the time comes for handing over the properties necessary conditions to prevent this may be thought of as provided in the latest amendment of the Muzrai Regulation. The petition is dismissed and the stay order withdrawn.

---

887/Misc 36-35 11 Inspection + 2 files
Copy to the M.C. for necessary action. Records received from him are herewith returned
31-12-36
B.O

Mir Sajan Imam
Forwarding Sec

888/Misc of 31-12-36
Copy to Mr K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the Revision petitioner
B.O

Mir Sajan Imam
Forwarding Sec

889/Misc of 31-12-36
Copy to Mr V.R. Varadarajan Sastri Advocate for the Revision Counter petitioner.
B.O

Forwarding Sec

D. No 31

Rev. 21]

# Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Review ~~Appeal~~ No. 5 of 1935-6

---

Review petrs.
~~Appellant.~~
Puttaramma Setty
K. V. Venkataramaiah
Madru Setty
Savandaiah
Chickramasimhaiah
Gurunanjappa
& K. Srinivasa Moorthy Rao.

Kalya Virakta Mathadi Th.

Vs

Subject

Resistg as Kalya Mathadi Th.
1. Palaradhya
2. Mallaradhya alias Appajappa
& 3) Gangadharappa.

Counter petrs.
~~Respondent~~

Request for a review of Govt. order no 1396-7/Mag 215-34-2 of 26.4.1935 sanctioning the succession of Siddalingadeva son of Mallaradhya to the vacant Peetha of Sri Jangama Math at Kalya Mathadi Th.

---

The case was called on today the 18th Aug 1936. The Review petrs with their Counsel Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar ~~Hon Ble~~ & the Counter petrs with their Counsel Mr V. R. Vasudeva Sastri ~~Hon Ble~~ were present and were heard

order

After hearing the parties & perusing the order that there are not sufficient reasons for setting aside the order already passed. The petrs are not all interested as disciples of the Math which is a Lingayat.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

ness of such being Brahmins.

The selection of Siddalinga Devan is not open to any serious objection. There is no doubt the apprehension that the pontiff's will continue to exploit the opportunity afforded by this young Swami's relationship to them. However the properties are under Govt. Management now & this has to be continued till the young Swami becomes a major, when the time comes for handing over the properties necessary conditions to prevent this may be thought of as provided in the latest amendment of the mucy. Regn. The pres. & dis. [illegible] and the Stay notice [illegible]

887 | MUG 36-35-1

[illegible] 31-12-36.
Received & [illegible] files

WD 0436—GPB—10,000—17.10.33

150

2/2/14.

For Gen Sec

888 of 31-12-36

Copy to Mr. K. T. Prabhakar Esqr. B.A.B.L. Pleader for the Rajah of Pithapuram for information.

R. O

2/2/14.

For Gen Sec

889 of 31-12-36.

Copy to Mr. V. R. Vasudeva Sastri B.A.-B.L. Secretary to the Samasthanam for information

2/2/14

Orders of the Hon'ble President

29/12

TYPIST 29. DEC. 1936 SECTT.

Mu. G. 3

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
637
7 - JAN 1937
MADRAS

GENERAL SECRETARY.
5 JAN. 1937

మైసూరు గౌర్నమెంటు ముజరాయి డిపార్టుమెంటు
కేంద్ర చరిత్ర పరిశోధక బోర్డు వారు జూనాగఢ్ జనాబాకు.

సం॥ 1935-36 నకు గాను ముజరాయి రిపోర్టు నందు.

రిపోర్టు ఆఫ్ ది బోర్డు

సర్వశ్రీ మంగడిశాల్లు సంపంగిబాబ్లు.
చిందూరాంబు నరసింహ శివమతి
పుట్టరాము శిష్టు
కల్లాదశ్రీనివాస మూర్తి శాస్త్రి
వింజమూరు రామయ్య వగైరాలు.

రిపోర్టు కర్తలు వారు
మంగడిశాల్లు సంపంగిబాబ్లు
బళ్ళారి మండలపు
అలూరాధ్యక్షులు అల్లూరాధ్యక్షులు
వగైరాలు.

ఒప్పిదపు పుస్తకాలు పుస్తకాలు వాగిన్నవి
మీల్లుండు రిపోర్టు నల్లు కల్లాదమురద వాగా
శిష్యరాలు.

బెంగళూరు డి॥ శ్రీ గోపాలరావు గారు నల్లు
జిల్లా గంగవాడి విశేషాధికారి వగైరా మంగలేగౌడరు మళ్ళు వీరప్ప
శెట్టి వీరశైవ గౌడ. బంగారప్ప. వీరశేఖరప్ప శెట్టి
పోన్నప్ప. బుట్టప్ప. జన్న వీరప్ప పెద్ద గంగవాడి శెట్టి వీ
రప్ప. ఇన్నా ముంతాదశెట్టి గారు జమీందారు శిష్యమండ
లియువారు వారు జమీ మాడిన అర్జీ.

నమ్మబాల్లు మంగడిశాల్లు కల్లాదమురద వీరప్ప
శెట్టి వగైరా మండలు స్వామియనుచు సావనిమాడ్డేవిష్ట
యువాగి.

(1) కల్లాదమురద కార్యదర్శి దశిరాజు వగైరా అలూరాధ్యక్షి. అల్లూరాధ్యక్షి
సంబంధపడి మాడిరువ నమ్మ గౌర్నమెంటు వారు మండలు వారు శిష్టా దికారదవరు కార్యదర్శి తరగద్దతిరువుదు
కాలుబాయి కల్లు పోగిసువ క్రమ నిద్ధ అంతదీ పరగేదు అదు
ఆదరిసదంతే ఈ రిపోర్టు నల్లు గ్రామాంతరం మాడుదేకాగి మాడి
మాడిదరు అదు.

(2) ఈ నిద్ధ లింగదేవరు మూలగ [illegible]
భుజంగాగియూ వీరశైవరు రాగిరుత్తారె గురు [illegible]
యరాగి కారుణ్యగురువు కృష్ణనాగియు. కృష్ణ పట్టిగె [illegible]
వంశరాగియూ మరణపట్టిరువుదు [illegible]
ఇరుత్తారె [illegible]

(3) ಈ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ರೆಮ್ಮಡೋ ವಿರ್ ಗಮೋದರೀಲಿಂದ ಗುಡ್ಡಿರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದ ಜಿ ಗಾಜೀಲಿಂಗವರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

(4) ರಿಷ್ಯಾಚಾರರು ಶೋಲಿಗುವ ಶಿವಶಂಕರ ಶತಮಾನ ಎಂಬುವರು ಶೀ ಸುಕಾ ಜಂಗಮರ ಗುರುಪರರು ಬೀಳಿಗೋಳ್ಳವರು. ಈ ಇವರ ಜಾತಿ ಪೀಠಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣದವರಿಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಲ್ಲಿ ಗಾಳುಗಳು ಗುರುಗಳು ಭಾವಿಸಲು ಅರುಪುರಿಯ ನಮ್ಮವರು ಶ್ರೀವಿಶೋಧ - ನೀವು ಮುಂಜಾನೆ ಪೂಜಿಸಬಹುದು.

(5) ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗದೇವರಿಂದ ಶಿವಶಂಕರಶತಮಾನ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದೇವಿಯ ವಂಶರಾಗಿರುವುದು ಆದಶಿವಪ್ಪನ್ನ ವಿಭ್ರಮ ಅಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಮುಖ್ಯವೆಯಲ್ಲ

(6) ಗುಡ್ಡಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತ್ರಿಕರಣಾಶುದ್ಧಿಯುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿ ಮಠದ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಂತೆ ಆಚಾರವುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿ ಶಿವಷಾಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಪುಣರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರ. ಉಳ್ಳವರೆಂದು ಅಸ್ಪೋಟರಾದ ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿಂದುಗಡೆ ಇದ್ದರಿಗಾಗಿ - ಇದನ್ನೆ ಶ್ರೀಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಧ್ಯಾನ.

(7) ರಿಷ್ಯಾಚಾರರು ಶೋಲಿಗುವ ಸಿದ್ಧನಂಜಪ್ಪ ಗುರುಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರು ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಕೊಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಬೀಳಗಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಲ್ಪಡುವುದು. ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಶೇಷ.

(8) ಈ ರಿಷ್ಯಾಚಾರರು ಇರುವವರು ಈ ರಿಷ್ಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಇವರಿಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಲ್ಲ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳಲ್ಲ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಲ್ಲ ಇವರಿಗೂ ಪಾಂಚಾಚಾರ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಬಹಳ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ ಇದೆ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಪಾಂಚಾಚಾರ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಷ್ಯಾಚಾರ ಶಂಕರಾರಾಧ್ಯ ಮೋಕ್ಷಶಂಕರ ಮಾಲಿಶಂಕರಾರು

(9) ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಷಟ್ಸ್ಥಲ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡುವವರು ಈ ರಿಷ್ಯರನ್ನು ಮೀರಿ ಉಳಿದಿರುವ ಆಚಾರ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರಾಗಿ ಮೂಡಿ ಬಂದಿದ್ದ ಲಿಂಗದೇವರ ಸಿದ್ಧಾಂತ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿಂತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಷ್ಟೆ ಹಿಂದಿನವರೆಗಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದ ಧರ್ಮಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯ ಇದೆ.

ಇದ್ರಂತೆ ಸರಟಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಗ ಸಾಲಿ
ವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಜ
ಶಂಕರ ಷಟ್ಸ್ಥಲ ಬ್ರಹ್ಮ ಮಠ ಹಾಲಕಿ
ವೀರಂಗಪ್ಪ ಪಟೇಲ್ ಮುಂಡಗಂಗಮಾಡಿ

Date 21-3-37.
ಸಾಜಪಟೇಲ್ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ
ಹಿಂಗಿಗಂಗಾ ಸಾಲಿ.

ಸಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಇಯನವರು ಒಕ್ಕಲಿಗ ಸಾಲಿ
ಗುಡ್ಡಿಶಿಷ್ಯರಿಲ್ಲ

వంశపారంపర్యపటాలు

సంజంధ్రయ్యనరుజు

భద్రయ్యనరుజు

చిట్టిబుయ్యనరుజు

ఒంటియ్య నరుజు

ఖద్దబుల్లయ్యనరుజు

పుల్లయ్యనరుజు

సిద్ధలింగయ్యనరుజు

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు ఇచ్చిన్నాడు

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు సంతానయ్య
కాకిచ్చు

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు అప్పాజయ్య
కాకిచ్చు.

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు సంతానయ్య
కాకిచ్చు.

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు వీరన్న
కాకిచ్చు.

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు భద్రయ్య
కాకిచ్చు.

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు పుండయ్య
కాకిచ్చు.

ఈయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు పుల్లయ్య
కిచ్చు.

ఊయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు వీర
భద్రయ్య కాకిచ్చు

పుల్లయ్యనరుజు.

పుట్టప్పజయన్నరుజు

ఈయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు సంజీవయ్య
కాకిచ్చు

ఈయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు పూజారయ్య
యన్నకాకిచ్చు.

ఈయలకట్టిదిప్పిన సుశీలనరుజు ఒసప్పకాకిచ్చు
కొండనరుజు

No action is called for. Pay Review 5 of 1935-36 has been decided. The provisions are relating to the appointment of Pooshanga Jeevana to the Post of Sri Kalla [illegible] Ramudu [illegible] personal. This will be filed with the main file

GENERAL SECRETARY
11 JAN. 1937

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
665
13 JAN 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ಧರ್ಮಾದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ.
ಜನರಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಪರಿಶಾಖೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ:—

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು:—

ಮಾನಸಿಕ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಾರ್ಥ. ಸಿನಿಮಾ ಪ್ರೋಬಳಿ - ಕಲ್ಲಾದಜಂಗಮ ಮಠದ.
ಪ್ರಾಣಾಚಾರ್ಯ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ ಪ್ರಭು ಅಲ್ಲಾಜಪ್ಪ. ಸಂಗಾಂದರಪ್ಪ.

ಸುಜ್ಞಾನಿಗಳವರು ಬಾಯಿ ಕಲ್ಲಾದ ಮಠದ ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ನೇಮಕ
ಮಾಡಿದ ಶ್ರೀಪಟ್ಟಲಿಂಗ ಜೀವರನ್ನು ಪುತ್ರವರ್ಗದ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಭುಗಳು ನಾಗಲಿಂ
ಡಿದ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಭುಗಳೆಂಬುವರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಆಗಿದದ್ದಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಷ
ಟ್ಟಲಿಂಗ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪನೆಯಿಲ್ಲದಾಗ ಪ್ರಭುಗಳಿಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಕೂಡಲು ಅಭ್ಯಂ
ತರ ಬೇಡಿದುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಕಲ್ಲಾದ ಮಠದ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಪತಿಗಳು ಬಿನ್ನಪನಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವರು

ಅಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗಿ ಶಿಷ್ಯರುವಗೈರೆಯವರು ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಮೇಲೆ 35-36
ನೆಜಾಯಿಸಿ ಜರಾಯಿ ಕನಿನಂಬುರು ಕಿ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಕಂಡು ಕಡೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಿದ್ದ
ರಮೆ ಪಟ್ಟಲಿಂಗ ಶ್ರೀಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು. ಬಿನ್ನಪನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿರುವುದು ಕಾಣಿಸುವುದು
ಈ ಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಭುಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿಮೂಲಕ ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಭುಗಳು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಪೀಠಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು. ಬಿನ್ನಪನ [illegible]
ಗಳು ದಿನಾಂಕ 10-1 1937 ನೆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ ಶ್ರೀಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು 11-1 1937
ನೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿರುವ ಬಿನ್ನಪನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ದಯಾಳ್ಳಿ ಕೂಡಿ
ಸಂಡು ಕೃಪೆ -

ಈ ವಿಚಾರದ ನಿಷ್ಕರ್ಷ ನಂತರ ಸಲ್ಲ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು

ದಿ. 9-1 1937

ಗದಗ ದಿನಾಂಕ 11/1/37

ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ. ಲು. ಅಜ್ಜಯ್ಯ ಪ್ರಭುಗಳು -

Pl. [illegible] the
DMo [illegible]
[illegible] 13/1/37

WD 735—GPB—2000—10-11-27

S. R. S. ..... ರ ಆಫೀಸು.

ನಂಬರು 958/ 86-35-12

ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಯುವ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ.

ತಾರೀಖು 15/11/1937

16.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

ಸಂದರ್ಭ: 5/1/1937ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ..... ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ ~~ಕಾಗದ~~ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ :—

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ .....1..... ....ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ರುಜು.....

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು.....

*

1. ನಿಮ್ಮ ~~ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಕೋಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ~~ .....

2. ~~ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು/~~ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... ..... ..... 